

## UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Α 11/20/97 KOHNO 1232-4391 08/975,214 **EXAMINER** WM02/1213 OPSASNICK, M MORGAN & FINNEGAN PAPER NUMBER 345 PARK AVENUE **ART UNIT** NEW YORK NY 10154 2645 DATE MAILED: 12/13/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

U.S. G.P.O. 1999 460-693

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

Ce

## Advisory Action

Application No. 08/975,214

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Michael N. Opsasnick

Group Art Unit 2645

Kohno

TH	E PER	IOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
	a) 📋	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	b) 🏋	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	date on determi	ension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of ning the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be ed from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
	Appell period	ant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Ap bu	plican t is NC	t's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Nov 20, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, bT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
	The pr	roposed amendment(s):
	☐ wi	ll be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
	☐ wi	Il not be entered because:
		they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NO	TE:
	□ Ar -	oplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
	Newly	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a ate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
	Newly separ The a	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a ate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  Iffidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition owance because:
	Newly separ The a for all	proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a ate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  Iffidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition owance because:  If applicant argues separately the two references of record, and the combination thereof: 2) the claimed features of
X	Newly separ The a for all 1) the "cont	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  Iffidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition owance because:  If applicant argues separately the two references of record, and the combination thereof: 2) the claimed features of rocordtransmission apparatuses" in claims 46 and 49 are addressed accordingly with other claims similar in scope.
X	Newly separ The a for all 1) the "cont." The a Exam	proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  Iffidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition owance because:  If applicant argues separately the two references of record, and the combination thereof; 2) the claimed features of roltransmission apparatuses" in claims 46 and 49 are addressed accordingly with other claims similar in scope.  If idavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the iner in the final rejection.
X	Newly separ The a for all 1) the "cont." The a Exam	proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  Iffidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition owance because:  If applicant argues separately the two references of record, and the combination thereof; 2) the claimed features of record, argues separately the two references of record, and the combination thereof; 2) the claimed features of rol, transmission apparatuses" in claims 46 and 49 are addressed accordingly with other claims similar in scope.  If fidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the
<b>X</b>	Newly separ The a for all 1) the "cont." The a Exam	proposed or amended claims
<b>X</b>	Newly separ The a for all 1) the "cont The a Exam For p Claim Claim	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a ate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  Iffidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition owance because:  If applicant argues separately the two references of record, and the combination thereof: 2) the claimed features of roltransmission apparatuses" in claims 46 and 49 are addressed accordingly with other claims similar in scope.  If idavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the iner in the final rejection.  Surposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  It is allowed:
<b>X</b>	Newly separ The a for all 1) the "cont." The a Exam For p Claim Claim Claim	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a ate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  Iffidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition owance because:  If applicant argues separately the two references of record, and the combination thereof. 2) the claimed features of roltransmission apparatuses" in claims 46 and 49 are addressed accordingly with other claims similar in scope.  If idavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the iner in the final rejection.  If it is allowed:  It is allowed:  It is allowed:  It is not directed written explanation, if any):  It is allowed:  It is not directed written explanation, if any):
<b>X</b>	Newly separ The a for all 1) the "cont." The a Exam For p Claim Claim The p	proposed or amended claims
X X	Newly separ The a for all 1) the "cont." The a Exam For p Claim Claim The p	proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a ate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  Iffidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition owance because:  If applicant argues separately the two references of record, and the combination thereof: 2) the claimed features of record.  If the claimed features of record, and the combination thereof: 2) the claimed features of record.  If the clai
	Newly separ The a for all 1) the "cont." The a Exam For p Claim Claim The p Note	proposed or amended claims